

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/006,480	12/06/2001	Mark John McGrath	450110-03716	3228	
	OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER		
				CHOWDHURY, NIGAR		
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2621		
		•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			,	01/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/006,480	MCGRATH ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Nigar Chowdhury	2621

	selore the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Nigar Chowdhury	2621						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE RI	EPLY FILED 21 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.						
th p a	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) 🔀	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.							
b) [	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS									
3. 🖾 🗀	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause					
(a	a) $oxtimes$ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);						
•	<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>								
(0	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. 🔲 🧵	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
5. 🔲 /	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	s overcome the following rejection(s):							
n	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
h T C C	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: claim(s) allowed:  claim(s) objected to:	·	ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
	claim(s) rejected: <u>13-35</u> . claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
_ b	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		• •	<del></del>					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).									
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
****	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:									

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitation "and including color distribution data", "derived from said color distribution data" in independent claims raises new issue that would require further consideration and search.

